

①

Quick review of some useful discrete stuff:

$\beta$ -distribution

for  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$P_{\beta}(x | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot x^{(\alpha-1)} (1-x)^{(\beta-1)}$$

In this case:

$$E[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

(worth remembering)

$\beta$  is useful for binomial problems because it has nice conjugacy properties.

Eg: I have a coin,  $P(\text{heads}) = h$  (Unknown)

I toss, see  $k$  heads,  $n-k$  tails.

What is  $P(h | k, n-k)$  ?

Natural choice:  $P(h) = \beta(\alpha_{\pi}, \beta_{\pi})$

↑  
prior

then  $P(h | k, n-k) \propto P(k, n-k | h) P(h)$  ②

$\uparrow$   
 binomial  
 $\{ \cdot h^k (1-h)^{n-k}$

$\uparrow$   
 $\beta$   
 $h^{\alpha_{\pi}-1} \cdot (1-h)^{\beta_{\pi}-1}$

So product

$$\propto h^{(\alpha_{\pi} + k - 1)} (1-h)^{(n-k + \beta_{\pi} - 1)}$$

which is  $\beta(\alpha_{\pi} + k, \beta_{\pi} + n - k)$

This is one of those cute things one learns and forgets, but there is a more general point

## Dirichlet

assume we have

$$x_1, \dots, x_k$$

on a simplex

i.e.  $0 < x_i < 1$

$$x_1 + \dots + x_k = 1$$

and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$

Then

$$P(x_1 \cdots x_K | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha)} \prod_i x_i^{(\alpha_i - 1)}$$

Dirichlet

(Notice how this generalizes  $\beta$  dist - its a PDF on Prob distributions)

Again, there are neat conjugacy properties

Eg: Assume I roll a  $K$ -sided die  $N$  times, observing faces  $n_1 \cdots n_K$  times.

What is  $P(p_1 \cdots p_K | n_1 \cdots n_K)$ ?

Bayesian: Prior on  $p_1 \cdots p_K$  is  $\text{Dir}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K)$

then  $P(p_1 \cdots p_K | n_1 \cdots n_K) \propto P(n_1 \cdots n_K | p_1 \cdots p_K) P(p_1 \cdots p_K)$

multinomial

$$\left\{ p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_K^{n_K} \right.$$

$$p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots$$

(4)

This gives

$$P(p_1, \dots, p_k | n_1, \dots, n_k) \text{ is Dir}(\alpha_1 + n_1, \dots, \alpha_k + n_k)$$

Sometimes written as

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \text{ concentration hyperparameter.}$$

prob a face comes up  $\rightarrow p | \alpha \sim \text{Dir}(k, \alpha)$

$\rightarrow X | p \sim \text{Cat}(k, p)$   
multinomial

observed faces

then  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k) = \text{counts of cat } 1 \dots k$

$$p | X, \alpha \sim \text{Dir}(k, \alpha_1 + c_1, \dots, \alpha_k + c_k).$$

Now, one fair objection to previous methods is that we have no probabilities

- we can bound expected counts below, but not compute expectations.

Consider

$$p \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K)$$

$$E(p) = \frac{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j}$$

(which is a discrete probability distribution, as it should be)

Now assume we do not know anything about which face of die is more likely

→ suggests a prior

$\text{Dir}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha = \alpha_0 \cdot \mathbf{1}$   
(i.e. all  $\alpha$ 's the same).

In each case, mean will be uniform pd.

but

$$\text{Dir}(\alpha) \propto x_1^{\alpha-1} \dots x_k^{\alpha-1}$$

So for very large  $\alpha$ , few  $x_i$ 's can be large (they can't all be smaller than  $\frac{1}{k}$ !)

- concentration parameter

$\alpha$  big  $\Rightarrow$  concentrated dist's  
 $\alpha$  small  $\Rightarrow$  more diffuse.

To compute expectations, we need <sup>(5)</sup>  
a random model of  $CdG(\lambda)$ .

Desirable object:

- a way of constructing random probability distributions, so that we can still compute expectations, etc.

There are several such objects

• Dirichlet process:

$X$  - a Polish space

= separable, completely metrizable topological space.

= space homeomorphic to a

complete metric space w/

a ~~non~~ countable dense subset:

(examples:  
Real line,  
interval,  
etc)

(6)

$\alpha$  - a finite measure.

i.e.  $\alpha(X) < \infty$ .

$P$  - a random measure.

i.e. "randomly chosen" and a measure.

then  $P$  is a Dirichlet process

if for every finite measurable

partition  $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$  of  $X$ ,

the joint of  $\{P(B_1), \dots, P(B_k)\}$  is

a  $k$ -dimensional Dirichlet dist with  
params.

$$\alpha(B_1), \dots, \alpha(B_k)$$

$\alpha$  is called the base measure



⑦

Notice the property is quite strong; isn't obvious one exists.

Notice also that "big" sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  ~~get are~~ tend to be "big" in  $P$ .

Work on  $\mathbb{R}$

Some properties:

• consider a partition  $\{A, A^c \equiv \overset{\mathbb{R}}{\cancel{\Omega}} - A\}$

$P(A)$  is  $\beta(\alpha(A), \alpha(A^c))$ .

random variable

distribution

$$\text{So } E[P(A)] = \frac{\alpha(A)}{\alpha(A) + \alpha(A^c)}$$

Now write  $\alpha = M.G$   
 $\uparrow$   $\mathbb{R}$   $\uparrow$  prob measure  
 $\alpha(\cancel{\Omega})$

$$\therefore E[P(A)] = G(A)$$

8

Now assume  $P$  is a D.P.

and

$$X|P \sim P$$

you can think of  $P$  as  
a prior  $P(X|P) = P$

then the marginal of  $X$  is

$G \frac{1}{2}$  ← this is a distribution

[Show this from the expectations]

Now recall  $P(A) \sim \beta(\alpha(A), \alpha(A^c))$

$$\text{Variance of } \beta(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha \beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2 (\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

So

$$\text{Var}(P(A)) = \frac{\alpha(A) \alpha(A^c)}{M^2 (M+1)} = \frac{G(A)G(A^c)}{(M+1)}$$

⇒ bigger  $M$  implies "more concentration".