Radiosity estimates via finite elements

D.A. Forsyth after slides by John Hart

In a world of diffuse surfaces ...

- Recall
 - radiosity is radiated power per unit area, independent of direction
 - we obtained:

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{x}) + \rho(\mathbf{x}) \int_{S} \frac{\cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{s}}{\pi r^{2}} Vis(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) B(\mathbf{u}) dA_{s}$$

• which we wrote as:

$$B(\mathbf{x}) - E(\mathbf{x}) - \rho(\mathbf{x}) \int K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) B(\mathbf{u}) dA_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$$

Radiosity estimate via finite elements

- Divide domain into patches
- Radiosity will be constant on each patch
 - patch basis function, or element

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \text{ in patch } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Now write
 - B_i for radiosity at patch i
 - E_i for exitance at patch i
 - Substitute into eqn:

$$B(\mathbf{x}) - E(\mathbf{x}) - \rho(\mathbf{x}) \int K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) B(\mathbf{u}) dA_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$$

Becomes

$$\left(\sum_{i} B_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} E_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right) - \left(\rho(\mathbf{x})\int K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\left(\sum_{i} B_{i}(\mathbf{u})\right)dA_{\mathbf{u}}\right) = R(\mathbf{x})$$

This should be "like zero"

Obtaining an estimate: Finite elements

- But in what sense is it zero?
 - Galerkin method

$$\int R(\mathbf{x})\phi_k(\mathbf{x})dA_x = 0\forall k$$

• Apply to:

$$\left(\sum_{i} B_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} E_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right) - \left(\rho(\mathbf{x})\int K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\left(\sum_{i} B_{i}(\mathbf{u})\right)dA_{\mathbf{u}}\right) = R(\mathbf{x})$$

• And get

$$B_k A_k - E_k A_k - \sum_j \left(\int_{\text{patch } k} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\text{patch } j} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \right) B_j = 0$$

Finite Element Radiosity Equation

• Start with:

$$B_k A_k = E_k A_k + \sum_j \left(\int_{\text{patch } k} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\text{patch } j} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \right) B_j$$

• Divide through by A_k, assume constant albedo patches, get

$$B_k = E_k + \sum_j \rho_k F_{jk} B_j$$

• Where geometric effects are concentrated in the form factor

$$F_{jk} = \frac{1}{A_k} \int_{\text{patch } k} \int_{\text{patch } j} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x}$$

Finite Element Radiosity

• This is a linear system
$$B_k = E_k + \sum_j \rho_k F_{jk} B_j$$

• fold in albedo, write $B_k = E_k + \sum_j \Gamma_{kj} B_j$

• or in terms of matrices and vectors

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E} + \Gamma \mathbf{B}$

• BUT YOU SHOULD NEVER DO:

• B might have 10⁶ elements or more!

 $\mathbf{B} = (\mathcal{I} - \Gamma)^{-1} \mathbf{E}$

Form factors

- if patches are all flat, then: $F_{ii} = 0$
- if i can't see j at all, then: $F_{ij} = 0$
- reciprocity: $A_k F_{jk} = A_j F_{kj}$
- interpretation:
 - Fjk is percentage of energy leaving k that arrives at j
 - this gives:

$$\sum_{j} F_{jk} = 1$$

Computing form factors

• Nusselt's analogy



The Hemicube

• Render onto faces of cube on receiver



Random samples

• with uniform samples



Solving the radiosity system: Gathering

• Neumann series (again!) $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E} + \Gamma \mathbf{E} + \Gamma^2 \mathbf{E} + \Gamma^3 \mathbf{E} + \dots$

• Easy iteration

$$\mathbf{B}^{(0)} = \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{E} + \Gamma \mathbf{B}^{(n)}$$

Gathering with iterative methods

- Linear system Ax=b
- Jacobi iteration
 - reestimate each x

$$\sum_{j} a_{ij} x_j = b_i$$

$$x_{j}^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left(b_{i} - \sum_{l \neq j} a_{il} x_{l}^{(n)} \right)$$

- Gauss-Seidel
 - reus<u>e new estimates</u>

$$x_j^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left(b_i - \sum_{l < j} a_{il} x_l^{(n+1)} - \sum_{l > j} a_{il} x_l^{(n)} \right)$$



From Cohen, SIGGRAPH 88

Southwell iteration: Progressive radiosity

- Gauss-Seidel, Jacobi, Neumann require us to evaluate whole kernel at each iteration
 - this is vilely expensive 10^6x 10^6 matrix?
 - it's also irrational
 - in G-S, Jacobi, for one pass through the variables,
 - we gather at each patch, from each patch
 - but some patches are not significant sources
 - we should like to gather only from bright patches
 - or rather, patches should "shoot"
- This is Southwell iteration