Corner detection



Outline

- Keypoint detection: Motivation
- Deriving a corner detection criterion
- The Harris corner detector
- Invariance properties of corners

Why extract keypoints?

- Motivation: image alignment
 - We have two images how do we combine them?



Why extract keypoints?

- Motivation: image alignment
 - We have two images how do we combine them?



Step 1: extract keypoints Step 2: match keypoint features

Why extract keypoints?

- Motivation: image alignment
 - We have two images how do we combine them?



Step 1: extract keypoints Step 2: match keypoint features Step 3: align images

Characteristics of good keypoints



- Compactness and efficiency
 - Many fewer keypoints than image pixels
- Saliency
 - Each keypoint is distinctive
- Locality
 - A keypoint occupies a relatively small area of the image; robust to clutter and occlusion
- Repeatability
 - The same keypoint can be found in several images despite geometric and photometric transformations

Applications

Keypoints are useful for:

- Image alignment
- 3D reconstruction
- Motion tracking
- Robot navigation
- Database indexing and retrieval







Corner detection: Outline

- Motivation
- Deriving a corner detection criterion

- Basic idea: we should easily recognize the point by looking through a small window
- Shifting a window in *any direction* should give *a large change* in intensity



"flat" region: no change in all directions



"edge": no change along the edge direction



"corner": significant change in all directions

• Change in appearance of window W for the shift (u, v):

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y)\in W} [I(x+u, y+v) - I(x, y)]^2$$







• Change in appearance of window W for the shift (u, v):

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$$







• Change in appearance of window W for the shift (u, v):

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{(x,y)\in W} [I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y)]^2$$

• We want to find out how this function behaves for small shifts



• First-order Taylor approximation for small shifts (u, v):

 $I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$

• Recall: first-order Taylor approximation for a 1D function:



• First-order Taylor approximation for small shifts (u, v):

$$I(x+u, y+v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v$$

• Let's plug this into E(u, v):

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{(x,y)\in W} [I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y)]^2$$

$$\approx \sum_{(x,y)\in W} [I(x,y) + I_x u + I_y v - I(x,y)]^2$$

$$= \sum_{(x,y)\in W} [I_x u + I_y v]^2 = \sum_{(x,y)\in W} I_x^2 u^2 + 2I_x I_y uv + I_y^2 v^2$$

 $E(u, v) \approx u^2 \sum_{x,y} I_x^2 + 2uv \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y + v^2 \sum_{x,y} I_y^2$

$$= (u \quad v) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x,y} I_x^2 & \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

Second moment matrix M

 $E(u, v) \approx u^2 \sum_{x,y} I_x^2 + 2uv \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y + v^2 \sum_{x,y} I_y^2$

• This is a quadratic function of (u, v):



• How can we analyze the shape of this surface?

• A horizontal "slice" of E(u, v) is given by the equation of an ellipse:

$$(u \quad v)M\binom{u}{v} = \text{const.}$$



• Consider the axis-aligned case (gradients are either horizontal or vertical):

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x,y} I_x^2 & \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{x,y} I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• If either *a* or *b* is close to 0, then this is *not* a corner, so we want locations where both are large

$$a = 0, b = 0 \qquad b = 0 \qquad a = 0 \qquad a > 0, b > 0$$

• Consider the axis-aligned case (gradients are either horizontal or vertical):

$$(u \ v) \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = 1$$
$$au^{2} + bv^{2} = 1$$
$$\frac{u^{2}}{(a^{-1/2})^{2}} + \frac{v^{2}}{(b^{-1/2})^{2}} = 1$$



• In general, need to *diagonalize M*:

$$M = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} R$$

• The axis lengths of the ellipse are determined by the eigenvalues and the orientation is determined by *R*



Visualization of second moment matrices



Visualization of second moment matrices



Note: axes are rescaled so ellipse areas are proportional to edge energy (i.e., bigger ellipses correspond to stronger edges)

Interpreting the eigenvalues

• Classification of image points using eigenvalues of *M*:



Corner response function



Outline

- Keypoint detection: Motivation
- Deriving a corner detection criterion
- The Harris corner detector

The Harris corner detector

- 1. Compute partial derivatives I_x and I_y at each pixel
- 2. Compute second moment matrix in a *Gaussian window* around each pixel:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x^2 & \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x I_y \\ \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_x I_y & \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

C.Harris and M.Stephens, <u>A Combined Corner and Edge Detector</u>, *Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference*: pages 147—151, 1988.

The Harris corner detector

- 1. Compute partial derivatives I_x and I_y at each pixel
- 2. Compute second moment matrix in a *Gaussian window* around each pixel
- 3. Compute corner response function $R = \det(M) \alpha \operatorname{trace}(M)^2$
- 4. Threshold *R*
- 5. Find local maxima of response function (NMS)

C.Harris and M.Stephens, <u>A Combined Corner and Edge Detector</u>, *Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference*: pages 147—151, 1988.



Compute corner response R



Find points with large corner response: R > threshold





Outline

- Keypoint detection: Motivation
- Deriving a corner detection criterion
- The Harris corner detector
- Behavior of corner features w.r.t. image transformations

Behavior w.r.t. image transformations

- To be useful for image matching, "the same" corner features need to show up despite geometric and photometric transformations
- We need to analyze how the corner response function and the corner locations change in response to various transformations



Affine intensity change



- What happens to the corner response function in case of intensity shifts $(I \rightarrow I + b)$?
 - It depends only on image derivatives, so it's *invariant* to intensity shifts
- What about intensity scaling $(I \rightarrow a I)$?
 - Not fully invariant if threshold stays constant



Image translation



- How do the detected corner locations change if the image pattern is translated?
 - All the ingredients of the second moment matrix are *shift-invariant*, and so is the corner response function
 - However, the locations of the corners are *equivariant* (or *covariant*) w.r.t. shifts

Image rotation



- How do the detected corner locations change if the image pattern is *rotated*?
 - Assuming the second moment matrix is calculated over a circular neighborhood (and ignoring resampling issues), the rotation changes but the eigenvalues stay the same, so the response function is *invariant*
 - The locations of the corners are *equivariant* (or *covariant*) w.r.t. rotations

Image scaling



• How do the detected corner locations change if the image pattern is *scaled*?

Image scaling



- How do the detected corner locations change if the image pattern is *scaled*?
 - Assuming fixed-size neighborhoods for calculating the second moment matrix, the corner response function is *not* invariant and the corner locations are *not* equivariant w.r.t. scaling

Next: Keypoint detection with scale selection

 We want to extract keypoints with *characteristic scales* that are *equivariant* (or *covariant*) w.r.t. to scaling of the image



K. Mikolajczyk and C. Schmid. <u>Indexing based on scale invariant interest points</u>. ICCV 2001 T. Lindeberg, <u>Feature detection with automatic scale selection</u>, *IJCV* 30(2), pp. 77-116, 1998