Stereo Visual Odometry

Chris Beall CVPR 2014 Visual SLAM Tutorial

OUTLINE

- Motivation
- Algorithm Overview
- Feature Extraction and matching
- Incremental Pose Recovery
- Practical/robustness considerations
- What else do you get?
- Results



Motivation

- Why stereo Visual Odometry?
 - Stereo avoids scale ambiguity inherent in monocular VO
 - No need for tricky initialization procedure of landmark depth



Algorithm Overview

1. Rectification



2. Feature Extraction



3. Stereo Feature Matching



4. Temporal Feature Matching



5. Incremental Pose Recovery/RANSAC



Undistortion and Rectification



Feature Extraction

- Detect local features in each image
 - SIFT gives good results (can also use SURF, Harris, etc.)



Lowe ICCV 1999

Stereo Matching

- Match features between left/right images
- Since the images are rectified, we can restrict the search to a bounding box on the same scan-line



Temporal Matching

• Temporally match features between frame t and t-1



Relative Pose Estimation/RANSAC

- Want to recover the incremental camera pose using the tracked features and triangulated landmarks
- There will be some erroneous stereo and temporal feature associations → Use RANSAC
 - Select N out of M data items at random (the minimal set here is 3)
 - Estimate parameter (incremental pose from t-1 to t)
 - Find the number K of data items that fit the model (called inliers) within a given tolerance
 - Repeat S times
 - Compute refined model using full inlier set

Relative Pose Estimation

- Camera pose can be recovered given at least three known landmarks in a non-degenerate configuration
- In the case of stereo VO, landmarks can simply be triangulated
- Two ways to recover pose:
 - Absolute orientation
 - Reprojection error minimization



• Estimate relative camera motion by computing relative transformation between 3D landmarks which were triangulated from stereo-matched features



- First, in 2D:
 - Given two sets of corresponding points $\{p_i^l\}$ and $\{p_i^r\}$ related by a rigid 2D transformation $T_r^l = (R_r^l, t_r^l)$:

$$p^l = R^l_r p^r + t^l_r$$

• First recover rotation (2 points), then translation (1 point)



B. K. P Horn JOSA 1987

- Rotation:
 - Given two point correspondences (p_1^l, p_1^r) and (p_2^l, p_2^r) , the vector v between the two points will be rotated by the desired angle θ
 - Specfically, the vectors are related by

$$v^{l} = (p_{2}^{l} - p_{1}^{l}) = R_{r}^{l} (p_{2}^{r} - p_{1}^{r}) = R_{r}^{l} v^{r}$$

• Finally, recover the angle

$$\theta = \arccos \frac{v^l \cdot v^r}{\|v^l\| \|v^r\|}$$

and translation $t_r^l = p^l - R_r^l p^r$



- Now in 3D:
 - Create coordinate frames from three corresponding points
 - Take x-axis by connecting p_1^l to p_2^l : $\hat{x}_l \propto (p_2^l p_1^l)$
 - Construct y-axis in the plane formed by three points, perpendicular to x-axis: $\hat{y}_l \propto (p_3^l p_1^l) [(p_3^l p_1^l) \cdot \hat{x}_l] \hat{x}_l$
 - Complete frame with z-axis: $\hat{z}_l \propto (\hat{x}_l \times \hat{y}_l)$



• Normalize the axes and we have the rotation of the frame with respect to the global reference frame,

 $R_l^g = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_l & \hat{y}_l & \hat{z}_l \end{bmatrix}$

• Repeat for the right frame, and obtain the relative rotation,

$$R_r^l = R_g^l R_r^g = \left(R_l^g\right)^T R_r^g$$

• As in the 2D case, the translation can then be recovered using a single point



- The Absolute Orientation approach assumes a relatively noiseless case, and does not work well otherwise
- No simple way to average out noisy points by considering more data
 - Use SVD-based method instead
 - Use different approach based on projective geometry



Reprojection Error Minimization

• A better approach is to estimate relative pose by minimizing reprojection error of 3D landmarks into images at time t and t-1





Practical/Robustness Considerations

- The presented algorithm works very well in feature-rich, static environments, but...
- A few tricks for better results in challenging conditions:
 - Feature binning to cope with bias due to uneven feature distributions
 - Keyframing to cope with dynamic scenes, as well as reducing drift of a stationary camera
- Real-time performance

Feature Binning

- Incremental pose estimation yields poor results when features are concentrated in one area of the image
- Solution: Draw a grid and keep k strongest features in each cell



Challenges: Dynamic Scenes

- Dynamic, crowded scenes present a real challenge
- Cannot depend on RANSAC to always recover the correct inlier set
- Example 1: Large van "steals" inlier set in passing



Inliers Outliers

Challenges: Dynamic Scenes

• Example 2: Cross-traffic while waiting to turn left at light



Only accept incremental pose if:

- translation > 0.5m
- Dominant direction is forward





Without keyframing







With keyframing

Real-time performance

• Parallelization of feature extraction and stereo matching steps allows real-time performance even in CPU-only implementation



What else do you get?

- Stereo Visual Odometry yields more than just a camera trajectory!
- Tracked landmarks form a sparse 3D point cloud of the environment
 - Can be used as the basis for localization



What else do you get?

• 3D Point cloud on KITTI Benchmark, Sequence 2



http://www.cvlibs.net/datasets/kitti/

Results on KITTI Benchmark

- Representative results on KITTI VO Benchmark
 - Average translational/rotational errors are very small
 - Accumulate over time, resulting in drift



GTSAM VO Example with Point Cloud

- StereoVOExample_large.m in GTSAM
 - Takes VO output and improves result through bundle adjustment (more on that later!)



tinyurl.com/gtsam