

## SUBMIT RESULTS

[Archive](#)[Team](#)

## Robust Vision Challenge

[Stereo](#) | 
 [Optical Flow](#) | 
 [Object Detection](#) | 
 [Depth Prediction](#) | 
 [Semantic Segmentation](#) | 
 [Ins](#)

## Semantic Segmentation Leaderboard

	<b>Method</b>	<b>ADE20K</b> (Detailed subrankings)	<b>Cityscapes</b> (Detailed subrankings)	<b>KITTI</b> (Detailed subrankings)	<b>MVD</b> (Detailed subrankings)
<b>1</b>	SN_RN152pyrx8_RVC	2	2	1	1
<b>2</b>	MSeg1080_RVC	1	1	1	2
	seamseg_rvcsubset		✓		
	EffPS_b1bs4_RVC		✓		

Joining multiple rankings into one in a fair manner is a non-trivial task. In electoral science the equivalent many ordered votes has been a central question for many years. The currently most-accepted solution for (PR) method [1] for sorted lists of winners. We are using Schulze PR to rank the top ten in each challenge. <sup>7</sup> implementation which you can find here.

[1] Markus Schulze. "A new monotonic, clone-independent, reversal symmetric, and condorcet-consistent sin Welfare. 2011.

We first rank each method according to a representative subset of metrics per dataset and then rank the r and rankings per dataset can be viewed by clicking on "Detailed subrankings". Note that these rankings m as those typically consider a single metric while we are looking for robustness and thus take a number of i

[Submit your results!](#)

Sponsors

Gold Sponsors



Google



## Silver Sponsors

**NAVER LABS** Europe



---

© Robust Vision Challenge. All rights reserved.

Design: