

(1)

## Cleanup notes on max-cut.

### . Max-Cut:

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

Determine  $S, \bar{S}$  s.t.  $S \cup \bar{S} = V$   
 $S \cap \bar{S} = \emptyset$

$w(S, \bar{S})$  is maximised

### . Randomized strategy.

- randomly assign  $-1, 1$  to verts
- $S = \{\text{verts } w/ 1\}$
- $\bar{S} = \{\dots -1\}$

In this case,  $P[u-v \text{ crosses cut}] \approx \frac{1}{2}$

$$= P[u=1, v=-1] + P[u=-1, v=1]$$

$$= 1/2.$$

now, the value of the cut is

$$Z_{y_r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} [1 - y_i y_j]$$

(2)

$$E[Z_{yr}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} (1 - E[y_i y_j])$$

$$E[y_i y_j] = P[y_i y_j = 1] \cdot 1 + P[y_i y_j = -1] \cdot -1 \\ = 0$$

$$\therefore E[Z_{yr}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij}$$

now the value of prob is value of best cut

write  $Z_{opt}$ .

(because weights are non-neg)

$$Z_{opt} \leq \sum_{i>j} w_{ij}$$

$$\therefore E[Z_{yr}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{2} Z_{opt}$$

(3)

### G+W bound:

We solve

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} (1 - v_i \cdot v_j)$$

$$\text{s.t. } v_i \cdot v_j = 1$$

(which is an SDP). Value of this is  $Z_p^*$

. we now choose a random vector!

. at vert  $i$ , if  $v_i \cdot r > 0$ ,  $i \rightarrow S$   
 $i \rightarrow \bar{S}$

Now:

$$P[i, j \in \text{cut}] = \frac{\cos^{-1}(v_i \cdot v_j)}{\pi} \quad (\text{elementary geometry})$$

write  $W$  for the value of the cut.

$$E[W] = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} \cos^{-1}(v_i \cdot v_j)$$

(4)

Now, for  $\alpha \approx 0.878 \dots$

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} > \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\theta)$$

[ which we can get by minimizing ]

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{(1 - \cos\theta)}$$

but write  $\theta_{ij} = \cos^{-1}(v_i \cdot v_j)$

We have

$$E[w] = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} \cos^{-1}(v_i \cdot v_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} \frac{\theta_{ij}}{\pi}$$

$$\gg \alpha \cdot \sum_{i>j} w_{ij} (1 - \cos\theta_{ij}) = \alpha Z_p^*$$

$$\alpha Z_p^* \gg \alpha Z_{opt}$$

$$\text{So } E[w] \gg \alpha Z_{opt}$$

# Doubly stochastic matrices and Balancing

①

- Recall  $M$  is doubly stochastic if
  - $M_{ij} \geq 0$
  - $\sum_i M_{ij} = \sum_j M_{ij} = 1$
- (Notice this means that  $M$  is square.)
- Recall
  - Convex hull of permutation matrices = doubly stochastic matrices (easy).
  - Vertices of doubly stochastic matrix polytope = permutation matrices (Deep, non-obvious; Birkhoff).
  - Above establishes how d.s. matrices could be interesting
    - also, matching paper.

Procedure to produce d.s. matrix from M.

Iterate

$$M_{ij}^* = \frac{M_{ij}^{(n)}}{\sum_j M_{ij}^{(n)}}$$

$$\hat{M}_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij}^*}{\sum_i M_{ij}^*}$$

~~and see'~~

$$M_{ij}^{(n+1)} = \hat{M}_{ij}$$

and keep going, till convergence.

Facts:

- if procedure converges, it converges to unique d.s. matrix.
- Zeros may cause a failure to converge  
(conditions under which it converges  
are known, see papers)
- if doesn't help to wake zero's into ε  
(eg next page)

(3)

example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ approx as } \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \varepsilon & 1 \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

converges to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

but

~~$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$~~

$$\begin{matrix} \varepsilon & \varepsilon^2 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{matrix} \text{ conv to}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} .5 & 0 & .5 \\ .5 & 0 & .5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad !$$

This procedure has some quite surprising applications.

# Sinkhorn can solve Sudoka!

(A)

Sudoku

$N \times N$  grid of cells

- $N$  blocks of  $N$  elements
- into each cell, insert  $1 \dots N$
- s.t.    - exactly 1 in each
  - row
  - col
  - block
- $N$  is usually 9.
- There is initial data  
(and the solver must fill in the rest).
- There are  $3N$  vector constraints
- have a doubly-stochastic flavor
- e.g. write

$$S_{ij}^{\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } ij \text{ is the cell} \\ & \text{has } \ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(5)

We have

$$\sum_i S_{ij}^e = 1$$

$$\sum_j S_{ij}^e = 1$$

$$\sum_{i,j \in \text{Block}} S_{ij}^e = 1 \quad \text{for each block.}$$

$$\sum_e S_{ij}^e = 1 \quad (\text{only one } \# \text{ per table location.})$$

Procedure:

write  $\vec{P}^m \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{cell} \\ \text{clock} \end{matrix}$

$$\vec{P}^m = [P(\theta_m=1), P(\theta_m=2), \dots, P(\theta_m=N)]$$

(which we interpret as probabilities, but . . .

Now imagine a  $\begin{matrix} \text{col} \\ \text{row} \\ \text{clock} \end{matrix}$

values  $\rightarrow$

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} \vec{P}^{m_1} \\ \vec{P}^{m_2} \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right] = Q_x \leftarrow \text{matrix}$$

at the right answer, all  $Q^r$  would ⑥  
be permutations

- for prescribed data, we can adjust the  $P$ -vector (i.e. it must be  $(0 \dots 1 \dots 0)$ )  
known value.
- This means that  $Q^r$  at least are doubly stochastic.
- Idea:
  - construct each row  $Q^r$ 
    - straighten
    - " " col
    - straighten
    - " " block
    - straighten
  - Stop on convergence.

(7)

Each balancing step does not move farther from solution in appropriate distance.

Notation:

$$\hat{S}_{ij}^{\ell} \quad \text{for a } \underline{\text{solution}}$$
$$\hat{S}_{ij}^{\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & i,j^{\text{th}} \text{ cell} = \ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice  $\hat{S}_{ij}^{\ell}$  has balance properties

Write  $\hat{g}_{ij}^{\ell}$  for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  est of  $\hat{S}_{ij}^{\ell}$

~~Notice~~  
Start with  $\hat{g}_{ij}^{\ell}$  st  $\sum_{\ell} \hat{g}_{ij}^{\ell} = 1$

(8)

Each step of each stage does not increase  $D(\hat{S}^l \parallel g) = \sum_{j \in e} \hat{s}_{ij}^l \log \frac{\hat{s}_{ij}^l}{\bar{s}_{ij}^l}$

proof: (case-by-case)

e.g.: col balancing for a row matrix

matrix is  $\overset{Q}{\cancel{S}}$

$$\cancel{Q_{ij}} = \hat{s}_{ij}$$

for k'th row,  $Q_{je} = \underset{\uparrow \text{fixed}}{\hat{s}_{kj}^l}$

and col balancing takes

$$Q_{je} \rightarrow \frac{Q_{je}}{\sum_j Q_{je}} = \frac{Q_{je}}{\sum_k Q_{ke}} x_e$$

(9)

i.e. this gets

$$\overset{(n+1)}{g}_{ij}^e = \overset{(n)}{g}_{ij}^e \quad \text{for } i \neq k$$

$$\overset{(n+1)}{g}_{kj}^e = \frac{\overset{(n)}{g}_{kj}^e}{x_e} \quad i \neq k$$

now

$$D(\hat{s}^e / \overset{(n+1)}{g}^e) \leq D(\hat{s}^e / \overset{(n)}{g}^e)$$

$$= \sum_{ij \in e} \hat{s}_{ij}^e \log \frac{\hat{s}_{ij}^e}{\overset{(n)}{g}_{ij}^e} + \sum_{je} \hat{s}_{kj}^e \log x_e$$

the change is

$$\sum_{je} \hat{s}_{kj}^e \log x_e \leq \sum_{je} \hat{s}_{kj}^e (x_e - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{because } (\log x \leq x - 1) &= \sum_{je} \hat{s}_{kj}^e x_e - N \\ &= N - N \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Other cases follow same line (10)

In practice, works OK.