An Introduction to Bilevel Programming

Chris Fricke Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Melbourne

Outline

- What is Bilevel Programming?
- Origins of Bilevel Programming.
- Some Properties of Bilevel Programs.
- The Linear Bilevel Programming Problem.
- Applications.
- References.

What is Bilevel Programming?

What is Bilevel Programming?

- 'A mathematical program that contains an optimization problem in the constraints.' *
- Evolved in two ways:
 - A logical extension of mathematical programming.
 - Generalisation of a particular problem in game theory (Stackelberg Game).

General Bilevel Programming Problem (Bard, 1998)

 $\min_{x \in X} F(x, y)$

s.t.

 $G(x, y) \le 0$ $\min_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$

s.t.

$$g(x, y) \le 0$$
$$x, y \ge 0$$

Origins of Bilevel Programming

- Game Theory Approach
- Mathematical Programming Approach

Origins of Bilevel Programming

- Stackelberg
 - (*The Theory of the Market Economy*, Oxford University Press, 1952).
- Bracken and McGill
 - ("Mathematical Programs with Optimization Problems in the Constraints", *Operations Research* Vol. 21 No. 1, 1973).

Game Theory Approach

Elementary Game Theory

- Situations involving more than one decision maker (player).
- Leads to the notion of *conflict* or *competition*.
- Each player has a number of available strategies with corresponding payoffs.
- Simplest case: Two-person, zero-sum game.

Two-person Zero-sum Game: Assumptions

- One player's gain = other player's loss.
- Players make decisions simultaneously.
- Players have perfect information
 - Of both their own and their opponent's permissible strategies and corresponding payoff.
- Players do not cooperate.

Two-person Zero-sum Game

• Payoff matrix:

Player II $A_1 \quad A_2 \quad \dots \quad A_n$ $a_1 \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & \dots & v_{1n} \\ a_2 & v_{21} & v_{22} & \dots & v_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_m \begin{bmatrix} v_{m1} & v_{m2} & \dots & v_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$

Stackelberg Game: Assumptions

- One player's gain \neq the other player's loss.
- Players make decisions in specified order.
- Second player reacts rationally to first player's decision.
- Players have perfect information
 - Of both their own and their opponent's permissible strategies and consequent payoff.
- Players do not cooperate.

Stackelberg Game

• Payoff matrix: (Bimatrix Game) A_1 A_2 $a_1 \begin{bmatrix} (v_{11}, w_{11}) & (v_{12}, w_{12}) & \dots & (v_{1n}, w_{1n}) \end{bmatrix}$ $a_2 \begin{bmatrix} (v_{21}, w_{21}) & (v_{22}, w_{22}) & \dots & (v_{2n}, w_{2n}) \end{bmatrix}$ $a_m | (v_{m1}, w_{m1}) (v_{m2}, w_{m2}) \dots (v_{mn}, w_{mn}) \rangle$ Player II Payoff Player I Payoff

Stackelberg Game: Definitions

- Player who moves first is called the LEADER.
- Player who reacts (rationally) to the leader's decision (strategy) is called the FOLLOWER.
- The actions of one affect the choices and payoffs available to the other, and vice-versa.

Stackelberg Game: Solution Methods

- Small instances can be solved analytically (standard game theory techniques
 e.g. graphical method).
- How to analyze/solve when each player has many available strategies?
- How to incorporate a complex relationship between the strategies and payoffs?

Extension to Bilevel Programming

- Also allows additional constraints to be placed on the player's strategies.
- Mathematical programming viewpoint:
 - **LEADER** moves first and attempts to minimize their own objective function.
 - FOLLOWER observes the leader's action and moves in a way that is personally optimal.

Mathematical Programming Approach

Some Distinctions

• General mathematical program: $\min_{x} f(x)$ s.t. $Ax \le b$ $x \ge 0$

Some Distinctions

- Multiple objective program: $\min f(x)$ \mathcal{X} $\min g(x)$ \mathcal{X} s.t. $Ax \le b$ $x \ge 0$

Some Distinctions

• Bilevel program:

 \mathcal{X}

s.t.

 $\min f(x, y)$ $A(x, y) \le b$ $\min g(x, y)$ ys.t. $C(x,y) \le d$ $x, y \ge 0$

General Bilevel Programming Problem (BLPP)

 $\min_{x \in X} F(x, y)$

s.t.

 $G(x, y) \le 0$ $\min_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$

s.t.

 $g(x,y) \le 0$ $x, y \ge 0$

Properties of Bilevel Programs

- Existence of Solutions
- Order of Play

Existence of Solutions

- A BLPP need not have a solution.
- Restricting the functions *F*, *G*, *f*, *g* to be continuous and bounded DOES NOT guarantee the existence of a solution.



• The solution \hat{y} to the follower's problem as a function of x is:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{for } x_1 + 3x_2 > 4x_1 + 2x_2; & \text{i.e.} & x_1 < \frac{1}{4} \\ y_1 + y_2 = 1 & \text{for } x_1 = \frac{1}{4} \\ (0,1) & \text{for } x_1 > \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

• Substituting these values into the leader's problem gives:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} F = \begin{cases} 2x_1 + 4x_2 & ; x_1 < \frac{1}{4} \\ 2y_1 + \frac{3}{2} & (0 \le y_1 \le 1) & ; x_1 = \frac{1}{4} \\ 3x_1 + x_2 & ; x_1 > \frac{1}{4} \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + x_2 = 1; & x_1 \ge 0; & x_2 \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

• Solution space for leader's problem:



• Leader's optimal solution:



- At $x = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ follower's optimal solution is f = 1 at any point on the line $y_1 + y_2 = 1$
- Corresponding solution for leader is $F = 2y_1 + \frac{3}{2} \implies F \in [1.5, 3.5]$
- No way for the leader to guarantee they achieve their minimum payoff

 \Rightarrow No solution.

Order of Play

- The order in which decisions are made is important.
- The roles of leader and follower are NOT interchangeable (problem is not symmetric).

• Reverse the previous example:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} f = -(x_1 + 3x_2)y_1 - (4x_1 + 2x_2)y_2$$
s.t.
$$y_1 + y_2 = 1; \quad y_1 \ge 0, \quad y_2 \ge 0$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} F = (2y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 + y_2)x_2$$
s.t.

 $x_1 + x_2 = 1; \ x_1 \ge 0; \ x_2 \ge 0$

• The solution \hat{x} to the follower's problem as a function of y is:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{for } 2y_1 + 3y_2 < 4y_1 + y_2; & \text{i.e. } y_1 > \frac{1}{2} \\ x_1 + x_2 = 1 & \text{for } y_1 = \frac{1}{2} \\ (0,1) & \text{for } y_1 < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

• Substituting these values into the leader's problem gives:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} f = \begin{cases} -y_1 - 4y_2 & ; y_1 > \frac{1}{2} \\ -(3 - 2x_1)y_1 - (2x_1 + 2)y_2 & ; y_1 = \frac{1}{2} \\ -3y_1 - 2y_2 & ; y_1 < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad y_1 + y_2 = 1; \quad y_1 \ge 0; \quad y_2 \ge 0
\end{cases}$$

• Solution space for leader's problem:



• Solution space for leader's problem:



• At $y^* = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ follower's optimal solution is F = 2.5 at any point on the line $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

• Comparison of solutions:

	Example 1	Example 2	Nash Equilibrium
Solution (\mathbf{x})	$\left(\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4}\right)$	$x_1 + x_2 = 1$	$\left(\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4}\right)$
$\operatorname{Cost}\left(F ight)$	1.5	2.5	2.5
Solution (\mathbf{y})	(0,1)	$\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$
$\operatorname{Cost}\left(f ight)$	-2.5	-2.5	-2.5
The Linear Bilevel Programming Problem (LBLPP)

General Bilevel Programming Problem (Bard, 1998)

 $\min_{x \in X} F(x, y)$

s.t.

 $G(x, y) \le 0$ $\min_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$

s.t.

$$g(x, y) \le 0$$
$$x, y \ge 0$$

General LBLPP

$$\min_{x \in X} F(x, y) = c_1 x + d_1 y$$

s.t.

$$A_1 x + B_1 y \le b_1$$
$$\min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = c_2 x + d_2 y$$
s.t.

$$A_2x + B_2y \le b_2$$

Definitions

• Constraint region of the BLPP:

$$S = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y, A_1 x + B_1 y \le b_1, A_2 x + B_2 y \le b_2\}$$

- Follower's feasible set for each fixed $x \in X$: $S(x) = \{y \in Y : B_2 y \le b_2 - A_2 x\}$
- Follower's rational reaction set: $P(x) = \{y \in Y : y \in argmin[f(x, \hat{y}) : \hat{y} \in S(x)]\}$

Definitions

- Inducible Region: $IR = \{(x, y) \in S, y \in P(x)\}$
- When S and P(x) are non-empty, the BLPP can be written as:

 $\min\{F(x,y):(x,y)\in IR\}$

Example (Bard, 1998)

$$\min_{\substack{x \ge 0 \\ \text{s.t.}}} F(x, y) = x - 4y$$

$$\min_{\substack{y \ge 0 \\ \text{s.t.}}} f(y) = y$$



Created by Neevia Document Converter trial version http://www.neevia.com

$$F(x, y) = x - 4y$$

$$f(y) = y$$

$$g(x, y) = x - 4y$$







Pareto Optimality

- Multiple objective problem.
- Feasible solution B dominates feasible solution A:
 - B at least as good as A w.r.t. every objective,
 - B strictly better than A w.r.t. at least one objective.
- Pareto optimal solutions:
 - Set of all non-dominated feasible solutions.







Summary of Properties of Bilevel Programs

- No guarantee of solution.
- Order in which decisions are made is important.
- No guarantee of Pareto optimality.
- Non-convex optimization problem.
- All of the above can apply even when all functions are continuous and bounded.

Solution Methods for the LBLPP

- Vertex Enumeration
- Penalty Methods
- KKT Conditions

Represent the IR as a Piecewise Linear Function*

• Theorem 1:

- The IR can be written equivalently as a piecewise linear equality constraint comprised of supporting hyperplanes of S.

• Theorem 2:

- The solution (x^*, y^*) of the LBLPP occurs at a vertex of S.

Solution Methods

- These Theorems are exploited in vertex enumeration algorithm of Candler and Townsley*.
- Approach based on Simplex method.
- Various penalty methods also developed.
- Most common approach via use of KKT conditions.

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Conditions

• Given $x = \hat{x}$ the KKT conditions for a local optimum at the point y^* for

$$egin{array}{lll} \min_y & f(\mathbf{\hat{x}},\mathbf{y}) \ & g(\mathbf{\hat{x}},\mathbf{y}) \geq 0 & (\mu) \end{array}$$

are:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y f(\mathbf{\hat{x}}, \mathbf{y}^*) - \mu^{\mathbf{t}} \nabla_y g(\mathbf{\hat{x}}, \mathbf{y}^*) &= 0 \\ \mu^{\mathbf{t}} g(\mathbf{\hat{x}}, \mathbf{y}^*) &= 0 \\ \mu &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Replace the Follower's Problem with its KKT Conditions

• Recall the follower's problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{c_2 x} + \mathbf{d_2 y}$$
s.t.
$$A_2 \mathbf{x} + B_2 \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{b_2}$$

$$\mathbf{y} \geq 0$$

KKT Conditions

• Let **u** and **v** be the dual variables associated with the two sets of constraints.

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} & f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{c_2 x} + \mathbf{d_2 y} \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & \mathbf{b_2} - A_2 \mathbf{x} - B_2 \mathbf{y} & \geq 0 \quad (\mathbf{u}) \\ & \mathbf{y} & \geq 0 \quad (\mathbf{v}) \end{split}$$

KKT Conditions

• Applying the KKT conditions to the follower's problem gives:

$$\mathbf{d_2} + \mathbf{u}B_2 - \mathbf{v} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{b_2} - A_2\mathbf{x} - B_2\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{vy} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \ge 0$$

Reformulation

• Solve for x, y, u, v \min $\mathbf{c_1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d_1}\mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{x} \in X$ s.t. $A_1\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B_1y}$ $\leq \mathbf{b_1}$ $= -\mathbf{d_2}$ $\mathbf{u}B_2 - \mathbf{v}$ $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{b_2} - A_2\mathbf{x} - B_2\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{vy} = 0$ $\leq \mathbf{b_2}$ $A_2\mathbf{x} + B_2\mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{x} \ge 0, \ \mathbf{y} \ge 0, \ \mathbf{u} \ge 0, \ \mathbf{v} \ge 0$

Reformulation

• Complementarity condition is non-linear.

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{b_2} - A_2\mathbf{x} - B_2\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{vy} = 0$$

- Requires implementation of non-linear programming methods.
- Problem is further complicated if IR is not convex.

Integer Programming Approach to Dealing with Non-Linearity

• Write all inequalities in follower's problem in the form:

 $g_i(x,y) \ge 0$

• Complementary slackness gives:

 $u_i g_i(x, y) = 0$

• Introduce binary variables $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and sufficiently large constant M

Integer Programming Approach to Dealing with Non-Linearity*

• Replace complementary slackness with the following inequalities:

$$u_i \leq M z_i, \quad g_i \leq M(1-z_i)$$

- Now have a mixed-integer linear program
 - \rightarrow Apply standard IP solvers (e.g. CPLEX).
- Drawback: increased number of variables and constraints
 - \rightarrow Computational inefficiency.

Alternative Approach to Dealing with Non-Linearity

- Rewrite complementary slackness term as sum of piecewise linear separable functions.
- Use globally convergent non-linear code to obtain solutions.
- Basis for Bard-Moore (branch-and-bound) algorithm for solving the LBLPP*

$$\sum_{i} u_i g_i(x, y) = 0$$

*Bard and Moore, *SIAM Journal of Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 11 (1990)

$$\sum_{i} u_{i} g_{i}(x, y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} \min\{u_{i}, g_{i}\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} (\min\{0, (g_{i} - u_{i})\} + u_{i}) = 0$$
ace $a_{i} = u_{i}$ with new variables w_{i} to

• Replace $g_i - u_i$ with new variables w_i to give equivalent set of constraints:



Linear equalities

$$w_i - g_i + u_i = 0$$

Applications

- Economics
- Resource Allocation
- Transportation Network Design

Applications

- Multilevel systems
 - A high level decision maker is able to influence the decisions made at lower levels, without having complete control over their actions.
 - Objective function of one department is determined, in part, by variables controlled by other departments operating at higher or lower levels.

Economic Planning at the Regional or National Level

- Leader: Government
 - Controls policy variables e.g. tax rates, import quotas.
 - Maximize employment / Minimize use of a resource.
- Follower: Industry to be regulated
 - Maximize net income s.t. economic and governmental constraints.

Determining Price Support Levels for Biofuel Crops

- Leader: Government
 - Determine the level of tax credits for each biofuel product.
 - Minimize total outlays.
- Follower: Petro-chemical industry
 - Minimize costs.

Resource Allocation in a Decentralized Firm

- Leader: Central resource supplier
 - Allocates products to manufacturers.
 - Maximize profit of firm as a whole.
- Follower: Manufacturing facilities at different locations
 - Determines own production mix/output.
 - Maximize performance of own unit.

Transportation System Network Design

- Leader: Central planner
 - Controls investment costs e.g. which links to improve.
 - Influence users' preferences to minimize total costs.
- Follower: Individual users
 - Their route selection determines the traffic flows and therefore operational costs.
 - Seek to minimize cost of own route.

Summary of Bilevel Programming Problems

 $\min_{x \in X} F(x, y) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ G(x, y) \leq 0 \\ \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ g(x, y)$

$$g(x, y) \le 0$$
$$x, y \ge 0$$
Summary of Bilevel Programming Problems

- No guarantee of solution.
- Order in which decisions are made is important.
- No guarantee of Pareto optimality.
- Non-convex optimization problem.
- In linear case, a number of possible reformulations exist to aid solution.
- Used for specific applications.

References

- Bard, J.F. *Practical Bilevel Optimization: Applications and Algorithms*, Kluwer Academic Press, 1998.
- Shimizu, K., Ishizuka, Y. and Bard, J.F., *Nondifferentiable and Two-Level Mathematical Programming*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- http://www.acsu.buffalo.edu/~bialas/